



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth,
6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
The Majlis

11 Shawwaal 1447 – 31 March 2026

ZAHIER RAGIE'S FORKED-TONGUE EID TALK **AND HIS FORKED-TONGUE** **ATTEMPTED EXONERATION**

Zahier Ragie was criticized for his deceptive talk on the day of Eid. Anyone who heard or read his talk gained the idea that he was preaching the unification of Shiah and Muslims. This subject is of topical import today, In fact a new group of miserable modernist and bid'ati insects is planning some stupid kufr kind of conference to forge Shiah-Muslim reconciliation which logically demands dilution of the Haqq, watering down the rigid Stance of Tauheed to accommodate the baatil of Shi'ism.

It was in the same strain that Ragie's talk was moulded. He was propagating subtly Shiah-Muslim unity - setting aside our fundamental differences, embracing one another - diluting, that is abandoning the Haqq on which the irreconcilable beliefs of the two mutually repellent ideologies are structured. Now the miscreant is at pains in his labour to exonerate himself and to extricate himself from the imbroglio of his *baatil* talk. In his deceptive fork- tongued discourse Ragie said:

"The ummah irrespective of which sectarian group you may follow - the ummah irrespective of which part of the world you may be coming from - the ummah irrespective of whatever your beliefs will be, you will all and we will all unite under the banner of Imam Mahdi and every sect whether Sunni or Shia will be united under the banner of Imam Mahdi."

What did and does the audience understand from this propagation of baatil unification? Nothing has been taken out of context as he now alleges. His statement clearly creates the idea that Muslims should set aside their differences of Belief and unite with Shiah. Such unification is haraam and kufr.

Under Imaam Mahdi (Alayhis salaam) there will be no unification of sects. There will be no sects. There will be only the Ahlus Sunnah Wal Jama'ah. All baatil sects – 72 doomed ones – will all abandon their *dhalaal* and *kufr* beliefs and ideas to enter

fully into the fold of Islam – the Islam which is the Sunnah of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and his Sahaabah. Thus, under the banner of Imaam Mahdi (Alayhis salaam), there will be no forging of sects on some platform of *baatil* consisting of an amalgamation of beliefs.

Ragie was projecting the current differences of belief of the two religions – the current differences which are the basis for the great divide between Muslims and Shiah. He further said:

“This is not a time my beloved friends To stay up and I know I might be criticized for what I'm going to say now - this is not a time to bring about the sectarian differences between Shia and Sunni Lean back to your fitra and start supporting justice Stand with the oppressed.”

Yes, you are being justly and vehemently criticized for your forked-tongue kufr propagation. Just what does the fellow mean by “sectarian differences”? These differences pertain to Imaan and Kufr. The difference are not of peripheral import. What does he mean by ‘leaning back’? Lean backwards to accommodating Shiah beliefs!

Furthermore, supporting the oppressed who today are the Iranians, is not in need of ‘leaning back’. A reconciliation of beliefs is not required for supporting the Iranians. In fact, recently we published articles explaining our sympathies are with the Iranians. We emphasized that our support does not mean conflation with Shiah beliefs. So, what does Ragie mean by ‘leaning backwards? This requires accommodation of Shiah beliefs. Even oppressed kuffaar of any persuasion can be supported without the deception of ‘leaning back’. The necessary corollary of ‘leaning backwards’ is accommodation of the opposite belief/ideology, and this is kufr.

Ragie is now making a futile endeavour to extricate himself from the morass by misrepresenting himself. Nothing has been falsely attributed to him.

Hadhrat Raipuri’s advice does not rescue Ragie from his morass. No one prohibits ‘active scholarly discussion’. Such discuss does not preclude calling a spade a spade – kufr as kufr. Such discussion will only be valid and permissible if the Haqq is not comprised in the way these fork-tongued molvis acquit themselves. There is no support for Ragie’s subtle pro-Shiah propagation in Hadhrat Raipuri’s *naseehat*.

The Shiah are not Muslims as Ragie has claimed. Their noxious beliefs expel them from the fold of Islam. Nevertheless, despite this irrefutable fact, we have made crystal clear our stance of support (although it is mere lip support) for Iran in the current conflagration and war with the USA and Israel.